

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/894,205	Applicant(s) KURATA, KAZUSHI	
	Examiner William M. Treat	Art Unit 2183	

All Participants:

(1) William M. Treat.

(2) Douglas P. Mueller.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated he thought claim 25 lacked an essential element when it did not mention use of the status flag in conjunction with the conditional execution status updated by the sequencer for controlling overriding of the instructions.. Applicant agreed to amend claim 25 as presented in the examiner's amendment..